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Abstract:
Precast technology grows rapidly around the world. One of the frequent topic discussions now is the concrete precast block that can flexibility assemble to form a house quickly. In this topic the test consists of T-shape and L-shape and square shape are used to form columns. The blocks was designed in a way which it can lock to each other and can form a columns. In this research, a test was carried out to determine the behavior of the columns in nonlinear state at various post-tension forces by applying 4 cyclic loads. The post-tensioning effect on the column behavior was better and lateral displacement reduced in IBS block work system.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Industrialized building system (IBS) is a system or construction technique where the components such as beam, wall, column, slab and facade of finishes was fabricated in a controlled factory quality and mass produced. With this approach, components of the building are manufactured off-site (in modules) and brought on to construction project to be assembled [1]. The standardization of elements and fittings of houses will ultimately lead construction project with an increase of efficiency, better quality and less wastage of time and materials [2]. Most importantly it will provide overall lower development cost of buildings [3]. The appropriate cost structure is important as an affordable housing can be built to support the government’s initiatives on affordable housing schemes [4]. This project was to construct a blocks of T shape, L shape, square shape and rectangular shape as a part of IBS blockwork column system with a post-tension anchor in the laboratory to conduct a test on two units of IBS blockwork system columns which built by using the same design but loaded in two different directions to study the influence of lateral load, vertical post-tension force and blocks interlocking on the columns behavior. The lateral load is applied with 4 cycles of loads and the behavior of columns was conducted by made the relationship between lateral and vertical displacement with the vertical post-tension force and lateral loads. Post-tensioning system used in this project because it is allows longer clear spans, thinner slabs, fewer beams and more slender elements [5]. Post-tensioning offers the additional advantage that the prestress across the joints between precast elements provides sufficient clamping force to transfer shear in friction and use in moment-resisting frames consist of columns and beams to resist moments and shears from lateral and gravity loads in seismic areas [5]. In this research, a scaled laboratory test was performed on (IBS) blockwork system of columns. The columns consists of a small block which connected together by clamping system of vertical bolts and nuts [5]. The columns are prepared and tested at civil engineering laboratory of university teknologimalaysia (UTM). The laboratory testing verify the lateral and vertical displacement due to post-tension force at four cyclic lateral loads on two models, similar model except at two different directions of loading and determine the lateral and vertical displacement and lateral load relationship.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

2.1. Materials Properties
The posttension steel 5.061 mm used with a pre-loading 2.17 KN, which represent 60% of tensile strength force (3.62KN). In this research, a self-compact concrete with density of 2400 kg/m3 was designed according standard stated in European code 2 [8]. Based on the mix design shown in Table 1, the obtained concrete modulus of elasticity at 7, 28 days and at the day of test was 18.5, 29, and 33.4 MPa.

Table 1. Mixture of concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water : Cement ratio</th>
<th>Cement (kg/m 3)</th>
<th>Water (kg/m 3)</th>
<th>Fine Aggregate (kg/m3)</th>
<th>Coarse Aggregate (kg/m3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>799</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Experiments on Interlocking Block Work System IBS Columns
Two columns consisting of 56 units of precast IBS block are assembled as in Figure 1. Both columns are tested individually for lateral load in parallel and normal directions.

Figure 1. Columns Model 1 and 2
2.3 Specimens Preparation and Casting Procedure

The preparation of formwork and reinforcement are carried out 1:5 scale for the blocks which designed previously [9]. The metal pipes with diameter 10 mm for post-tensioned void preparation as shown in the Figure 2. Prior to casting work, the inner part of the formworks is brush with temper formwork paint to facilitate the formwork stripping after the precast blocks shaping. All blocks have a similar thickness of 40 mm. The components of this frame IBS blocks work are:

1) 40 x 100 x 100 mm (square shape)  
2) 40 x 100 x 140 mm (Rectangular shape)  
3) 40 x 100 x 140 mm (T shape)  
4) 40 x 140 x 180 mm (T shape)  
5) 40 x 140 x 140 mm (L shape)  
6) 40 x 140 x 180 mm (L shape)

Figure 2. Specimens shapes and size

2.4 Preparation

The Formwork is a wooden molding and the blocks are reinforced with Ø5.061 mm steel bars. The metal pipes voids are fixed in place to slot the bolt of post-tension applications and also to clamp the block with one another. Figure 3 show the placement of reinforcement and the metal pipes void in the mould before concrete casting.

Figure 3. Formwork and Reinforcement Preparation

2.5 Casting of IBS Block

After all the reinforcement has been prepared and pipe fixed in place, casting of concrete was carried out. Castings were carried out in one concrete mix batches. The concrete mix is self-compact concrete with little compaction needed and 4 cylinder specimens were prepared for each batch for concrete characteristic strength. Curing was achieved by covering the concrete with a wet hessian to avoid concrete shrinkages.

Figure 4. Casting and curing works

2.6 Assembly of Columns Blocks

The assembly process of the columns started after all casted blocks have reach specified strength and lightly painted. The connections between blocks by using clamping bolt and long nut through the middle and four sides voids of each column assembly as shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5. Assembly of Columns Blocks

2.7 Laboratory Experimental Setup

The laboratory equipment’s are set in order to perform a scaled experimental test. The main purpose of the test is to show real behavior of interlocking IBS block work columns system under lateral loads. The columns test was managed using hydraulic jack. The data logger used to record the incremental load and its effect on the strain of post-tension steel and displacement values.

Figure 6. Static Load Test Setup
2.8 Testing Arrangement and Instruction

The columns are loaded in 4 laterals cyclic loads through the point load at column head at two different test directions. It will enable the calculation for lateral displacements at each layer of column are made as shown in Figure 7. The forces applied by the jack are measures using a load cell. The displacements are records by LVDT.

![Testing Arrangement and Instruction](image_url)

**Figure 7. Testing Arrangement and Instruction**

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Columns behavior has been monitoring and recording throughout all four cycle of loads. The movements and lateral displacement of the columns was also recorded throughout the test and the load movements relationship will determined how the columns behave.

3.1 Columns behavior

Columns behavior explained for two similar columns design but loaded in two different directions. It creates a different type of interlocking mechanism between the column blocks as shown in Figure 8a for Model 1 and Figure 8b for Model 2.

![Blocks Interlocking due applied loads for Column Model 1 and Model 2.](image_url)

**Figure 8. Blocks Interlocking due applied loads for Column Model 1 and Model 2.**

3.1.1 Column Model 1

Column Model 1 was tested laterally from 0 to 4.1 KN lateral loads in four cycles. Figures 9 and 10 show the acquired behavior of column Model 1 which is in cantilever mode of deflections.

![Height and Lateral Right Movement of Column Model 1.](image_url)

**Figure 9. Height and Lateral Right Movement of Column Model 1.**

These cantilever action occurs at cycle 1 and 2 of 0.5 KN and 0.6 KN lateral loads. In cycle 3 and 4 of 1.9 KN and 4.1 KN, the column blocks was deflection change to double curvature shapes, with the 1st curvature start from the base to level 540 mm and 2nd curvature start from level 540 mm until the end of column at level 780 mm.

![Height and Lateral Left Movement of Column Model 1.](image_url)

**Figure 10. Height and Lateral Left Movement of Column Model 1.**

From Figure 10 for left movement, it can be seen the column behavior as a cantilever at 4 cyclic loads. Lateral load values were 0.5 KN of cycle 1, 0.6 KN of cycle 2, 2 KN of cycle 3 and 6.2 KN ultimate load at cycle 4.

3.1.2 Column Model 2

Column Model 2 behavior was checked at two directions due to applied 4 cyclic loads at left and right direction after rotate it as shown in Figure 4.1b as a 2nd supposed test direction to check the effect of the interlocking of column blocks on the column behavior. Figures 11 and 12 show the acquired behavior of column Model 2.

![Height and Right Lateral Movement of Column Model 2](image_url)

**Figure 11. Height and Right Lateral Movement of Column Model 2.**
From Figure 11 for right movement, it can be seen the column behavior as a double curvature at cycle 1 and cycle 2 when the load was 1 KN and 2.1 KN and the behavior as a cantilever at cycle 3 and cycle 4 when the load was 3.2 KN and at the ultimate load 5.8 KN.

3.2 Failure Mode

Failure Mode was checked for two models in order to know the effect of blocks interlocking on the columns behavior to choose the correct direction of column set inside the structures.

3.2.1 Failure Mode of Model 1

Failure mode of the column Model 1 were observed at 6.2 KN load at cycle 4 towards left direction. The column was fail due crushing 3rd block at 5.4 KN load and crushed 4th and last block at the ultimate load 6.2 KN as shown in Figure 13.

3.2.2 Failure Mode of Model 2

The failure mode of the column Model 2 were observed at 5.8 KN load at cycle 4 in right direction. The column was fail due to crushed at 3rd, 4th and last block at the ultimate load 5.8 KN as shown in Figure 14.

3.3 Summary of Test Result Analysis

Test result analysis and comparison of Model 1 and Model 2 mechanism is summarized in the Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate strength was</td>
<td>Ultimate strength was</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2 KN</td>
<td>5.8 KN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure mode at left</td>
<td>Failure mode at right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>direction</td>
<td>direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column behavior as</td>
<td>Column behavior as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>double curvature at</td>
<td>double curvature at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>right direction</td>
<td>right direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and cantilever at left</td>
<td>and cantilever at left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>direction at the</td>
<td>direction at the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ultimate load.</td>
<td>ultimate load.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum horizontal</td>
<td>Maximum vertical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deflection 66.99 mm</td>
<td>deflection 55 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum vertical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deflection 4.44 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left direction column</td>
<td>Left and right direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>undergo strength</td>
<td>undergo strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mechanism with ductile</td>
<td>mechanism with ductile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fracture at the base</td>
<td>fracture at the base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>but at right direction</td>
<td>but at right direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>undergo plastic hinges</td>
<td>undergo plastic hinges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mechanism with ductile</td>
<td>mechanism with ductile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fracture at the base</td>
<td>fracture at the base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and plastic hinges at</td>
<td>and plastic hinges at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ultimate load</td>
<td>ultimate load</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSION

The dissertation has presented an investigation on IBS blockworks system of cantilever post-tension columns that have been fabricated and tested in the Structural and Material Laboratory of Faculty of Civil Engineering, University Technology of Malaysia (UTM). Based on the experimental test results, the main findings are highlighted and concluded as follow:

(a) Crushing at 3rd Block. (b) Crushed 4th and Last Block

Figure 13: Crushed 3rd, 4th and Last Block of Model 1.

Figure 14: Crushed at 3rd, 4th Blocks and of Last Block In front and Rear View of Model 2 Failure
1. Lateral load and lateral blocks movement was estimated through the test and calculations.

2. Columns behavior at two different test directions and effect of column blocks interlocking on the columns behavior was a cantilever action at cycle 1 and 2 and the deflection change to double curvature at cycle 3 and 4 at right movement and cantilever at 4 cyclic loads at left movement of Model 1. The column behavior as a double curvature at cycle 1 and cycle 2 and as a cantilever at cycle 3 and cycle 4 at right movement and as a multi curvature at cycle 1 and double curvature at cycle 2 and the behavior as a cantilever at cycle 3 at left movement of Model 2.

3. Ultimate strength of the structure is 6.2 KN for column Model 1 and 5.8 KN for column Model 2 and the failure is characterized by crushing and spalling of concrete of both Models.

4. Columns behavior from two tests has identified which direction the column should be arrange to form the uniformly distributed strength inside the structural system.
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